

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/575,908	JUNEAU, J. RENE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JASON CHUNG	2423	

**All Participants:**

(1) JASON CHUNG.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Matthew Sarles (58,696).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 January 2011

**Time:** 3:00 EST

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*See Continuation Sheet*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew Y Koenig/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of claims discussed: Independent claims 1, 37, and 56 were discussed to determine where the specification had support for the limitation "against a predetermined combination of indicia of usage that are associated with a combination of signals not authorized for usage together on said receiver." The specification has support in at least paragraphs ([0026, 0087, 0093-0098]). Specifically, in paragraph [0095] of the specification, the second sentence provides enough support.